

Total Synthesis of 6-Deoxyerythronolide B via C–C Bond-Forming Transfer Hydrogenation

Xin Gao,[†] Sang Kook Woo,^{†,‡} and Michael J. Krische^{*,†}

[†]Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas 78712, United States

 ‡ Department of Chemistry, University of Ulsan, Ulsan 680-749, Republic of Korea

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The 14-membered macrolide 6-deoxyerythronolide B is prepared in 14 steps (longest linear sequence) and 20 total steps. Two different methods for alcohol CH-crotylation via transfer hydrogenation are deployed for the first time in target-oriented synthesis. Enyne metathesis is used to form the 14-membered ring. The present approach represents the most concise construction of any erythronolide reported, to date.

n 1952, the pharmaceutical company Eli Lily commercialized the first macrolide antibiotic, erythromycin A.¹ Beyond its impact on human medicine, the challenges in chemical synthesis posed by erythromycin A and related polyketides propelled advances in acyclic stereocontrol via carbonyl addition, especially aldol bond constructions^{2d} and crotylation methods.³ Perhaps fueled further by Woodward's dim assessment of the prospect of accessing erythromycin A through chemical synthesis,⁴ the erythromycins have become inextricably tied to the evolution of synthetic organic chemistry, and their total syntheses are widely regarded as benchmarks for the state of the art.^{9f} As illustrated in total syntheses of erythromycin A^5 and B^6 , erythronolide A^7 and B^8 , (9*S*)-dihydroerythronolide A^9 and their biogenic precursor 6-deoxyerythronolide B,¹⁰ tremendous strides have been made over the past 30 years. However, all reported syntheses remain well over 20 steps in length, suggesting the influence of the erythromycins on chemical synthesis will persist into the future (Figure 1).

In the course of exploring C–C bond-forming hydrogenations and transfer hydrogenations beyond hydroformylation,¹¹ our laboratory developed a suite of methods for stereoselective polyketide construction, including methods for carbonyl crotylation via redox-triggered C–C coupling of primary alcohols and α -methyl allyl acetate (5) or butadiene using Ir¹² and Ru¹³ catalysts, respectively. These studies evoked an exceptionally powerful transformation that has no counterpart in conventional allylmetal chemistry:³ the *anti*-diastereo- and enantioselective Ircatalyzed *double crotylation* of 2-methyl-1,3-propanediol (6) to form polypropionate stereoquintets.^{12c} To benchmark the utility of this method *vis-à-vis* polyketide construction, it was applied to the preparation of 6-deoxyerythronolide B. This undertaking has resulted in the most concise route to any erythronolide reported, to date.^{2,5–10}

Retrosynthetically, a convergent assembly of 6-deoxyerythronolide B from fragments A and B was envisioned through esterification followed by ring-closing enyne metathesis to form



Erythromycin A, R¹ = OH, R² = β -D-desosamine, R³ = α -D-cladinose, R⁴ = OH, R⁵ = R⁶ = O Woodward 1981, 55 Steps (LLS), 77 Steps (TS), ref. 5a

Erythromycin B, R¹ = OH, R² = β -D-desosamine, R³ = α -D-cladinose, R⁴ = H, R⁵ = R⁶ = O

Martin 1997, 28 Steps (LLS), 33 Steps (TS), ref. 6

Erythronolide A, R¹ = OH, R² = OH, R³ = OH, R⁴ = OH, R⁵ = R⁶ = O Corey 1979, 39 Steps (LLS), 50 Steps (TS), ref. 7a Kinoshita 1989, 50 Steps (LLS), 74 Steps (TS), ref. 7b Carreira 2005, 26 Steps (LLS), 36 Steps (TS), ref. 7c,d

Erythronolide B, R¹ = OH, R² = OH, R³ = OH, R⁴ = H, R⁵ = R⁶ = O Corey 1978, 33 Steps (LLS), 47 Steps (TS), ref. 8a Kochetkov 1987, 36 Steps (LLS), 51 Steps (TS), ref. 8b Mulzer 1991, 27 Steps (LLS), 41 Steps (TS), ref. 8c

(95)-Dihydroerythronolide A, R¹ = OH, R² = OH, R³ = OH, R⁴ = OH R⁵ = OH, R⁶ = H Stork 1987, 33 Steps (LLS), 46 Steps (TS), ref. 9a,b Yonemitsu 1987, 39 Steps (LLS), 63 Steps (TS), ref. 9c,d Paterson 1989, 23 Steps (LLS), 31 Steps (TS), ref. 9e Hoffmann 1993, 27 Steps (LLS), 31 Steps (TS), ref. 9f Woerpel 2003, 30 Steps (LLS), 31 Steps (TS), ref. 9g

Figure 1. Erythromycin A and B, erythronolide A and B, and 6deoxyerythronolide A and B and prior total syntheses. For graphical summaries of prior total syntheses, see Supporting Information. For total syntheses of other erythromycin family members and their secoacids, see ref 2. LLS, longest linear sequence; TS, total steps.

the 14-membered macrolide.¹⁴ Fragment **A** is prepared in six steps from *n*-propanol (1) through successive introduction of propionate subunits via Ru-catalyzed, butadiene-mediated *syn*-crotylation^{13d} followed by substrate-directed *syn*-aldol addition¹⁵ to form thiol ester 3, which incorporates the four contiguous stereogenic centers spanning C10–C13. Fragment **B**, which incorporates the five contiguous stereogenic centers spanning C2–C6, is prepared in eight steps from 6 via Ir-catalyzed double

Received: January 25, 2013 Published: March 6, 2013 Scheme 1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of Deoxyerythronolide B, Highlighting C–C Bonds Formed via Hydrogenative Coupling



Scheme 2. Synthesis of Fragment A via Ru-Catalyzed syn-Crotylation of *n*-Propanol $(1)^a$



^{*a*}Indicated yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for experimental details.

crotylation followed by iodoetherification and alkene oxidative cleavage to form the carboxylic acid (Scheme 1). 12c

The synthesis of fragment **A** begins with the hydrohydroxyalkylation of butadiene employing n-propanol **1** to form the product of *syn*-crotylation (Scheme 2).^{13d} As the resulting secondary alcohol is quite volatile, reagents promoting



Scheme 3. Synthesis of Fragment B via Ir-Catalyzed Double-

anti-Crotylation of 2-Methyl-1,3-propanediol $(6)^{a}$

^{*a*}Indicated yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for experimental details.

formation of the TBS ether 2 are added to the reaction mixture after the C-C coupling is complete, enabling direct acquisition of 2 from 1 in 59% isolated yield with 5:1 syn-diastereoselectivity and 98% enantiomeric excess.^{13d,16} Oxidative cleavage of the terminal olefin followed by treatment of the resulting aldehyde with the (E)-boron enolate derived from S-phenyl propanethioate delivers the product of syn-aldol addition 3, with only trace quantities of the anti-diastereomer detected by ¹H NMR analysis.¹⁵ The thiol ester 3 is converted to the β -hydroxy aldehyde,¹⁷ which is exposed to the Ohira-Bestmann reagent to form the homopropargyl alcohol 4 without protection of the hydroxyl moiety.¹⁸⁵ Finally, benzylation of 4 accompanied by acidic hydrolysis of the TBS ether in the course of isolation provides fragment A. An even more concise route to fragment A potentially involves 1,3-enyne hydrohydroxyalkylation to form the C10–C11 bond with concomitant installation of the alkyne; however, this chemistry has not yet been adapted to the use of chiral β -stereogenic alcohols (Scheme 2).¹⁹

The synthesis of fragment **B** begins with the *anti*-diastereoand enantioselective Ir-catalyzed double crotylation of **6** to furnish the pseudo- C_2 -symmetric diol 7 (Scheme 3).^{12c} The diol 7 is produced as a single enantiomer as determined by HPLC, as the minor enantiomer of the mono-adduct is converted to the pseudo-*meso*-diastereomer.²⁰ Iodoetherification of 7, which differentiates the alkene termini and diol moieties and defines the nonstereogenic chirotopic center at C4, followed by benzylation delivers pyran **8**. Os-catalyzed oxidative cleavage of the olefin to form the carboxylic acid,²¹ followed by Zn-mediated reductive cleavage of the iodoether, provides the β -hydroxy acid **9**, which is prone to epimerization. To convert **9** to fragment **B**,





^aIndicated yields are of material isolated by silica gel chromatography. See Supporting Information for experimental details.

an inversion in stereochemistry at C3 is required. To this end, conversion of **9** to the β -lactone **10** was attempted under Mitsunobu conditions; however, decarboxylative Grob-type elimination to form the *cis*-alkene occurred, in over 70% yield.²² Treatment of the dianion of **9** with methanesulfonyl chloride²³ delivers **10** in 15–20% yield along with recovered **9**, suggesting a more electrophilic sulfonyl chloride is required. Indeed, use of chloromethanesulfonyl chloride leads to the formation of **10** in 72% yield. It was our hope to directly exploit **10** in the acylation of fragment **A**. However, although related β -lactone ring openings are known,^{24a} as we learned, *cis*-disubstituted β -lactones are recalcitrant acylating agents,^{24b} and so **10** was converted to the carboxylic acid fragment **B** (Scheme 3).

The convergent assembly of fragments A and B is achieved through esterification under Yamaguchi's conditions to form the tethered enyne 11 (Scheme 4).²⁵ Initial attempts at ring-closing enyne metathesis¹⁴ in the absence of ethylene led to isomerization of the terminal olefin. Under an atmosphere of ethylene at 80 °C, the terminal alkyne is converted to the conjugated diene in nearly quantitative yield, but macrocyclization is not observed. Hence, upon complete conversion to the conjugated diene at 80 °C, the reaction vessel is purged with nitrogen and the reaction temperature increased to 110 °C, which induces formation of the 14-membered macrolide 12 as a single regioisomer in a remarkable 89% yield. Os-catalyzed oxidative cleavage of the C9 methylidene residue provides the conjugated enone 13.²¹ Reductive methylation of 13 to form ketone 14 under the conditions of dissolving metal reduction^{26a,b} or through the agency of arene anion radicals^{26c,d} was explored. Although efficient reductive alkylation was achieved in a model system (4,4-dimethylcyclohexenone), 13 underwent benzyl cleavage or decomposed upon exposure to dissolving metal conditions and, upon treatment with arene anion radicals, 13 was converted to the product of enone 1,2-reduction. Consequently, the conversion of 13 to 14 was accomplished by Ni-catalyzed conjugate reduction²⁷ followed by conventional enolate methylation. Interestingly, highly variable levels of diastereoselectivity were associated with the newly formed C8-stereocenter of 14, suggesting facile epimerization at this position. Indeed, irrespective of the diastereomeric ratio at C8, exposure of 14

to the slightly acidic conditions of Pd-catalyzed homogeneous hydrogenation provides 6-deoxyerythronolide B in 93% isolated yield as a single diastereomer. Thus, 6-deoxyerythronlide B is prepared in 14 steps (longest linear sequence) and 20 total steps, representing the most concise route to any erythromycin family member reported, to date.

New reactivity is the principal basis of new functional group interconversions and new strategies that can shift the retrosynthetic paradigm, ultimately simplifying longstanding challenges in chemical synthesis. As illustrated in the present total synthesis of 6-deoxyerythronolide B and recent total syntheses of the macrolides roxaticin^{28a} and bryostatin 7,^{28b} alcohol C-H functionalization via transfer hydrogenation augments synthetic efficiency by opening novel routes to polyketide natural products that bypass stoichiometric use of chiral auxiliaries, premetalated C-nucleophiles, and discrete alcohol-to-aldehyde redox reactions. As organic molecules are defined as compounds composed of C and H, the reactivity embodied by such processes where C-C bond formation is accompanied by H redistribution evokes numerous possibilities in terms of related transformations, including imine addition from the amine oxidation and the direct C-C coupling of alcohols to α -olefins. These and other topics are currently under investigation in our laboratory.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Experimental procedures and spectral data. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

mkrische@mail.utexas.edu

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The Robert A. Welch Foundation (F-0038) and the NIH-NIGMS (RO1-GM093905) are acknowledged for partial support of this research.

REFERENCES

(1) Isolation: McGuire, J. M.; Bunch, R. L.; Anderson, R. C.; Boaz, H. E.; Flynn, E. H.; Powell, H. M.; Smith, J. W. *Antibiot. Chemother.* **1952**, *2*, 281.

(2) For selected reviews on the synthesis of erythromycin family natural products, see: (a) Masamune, S.; Bates, G. S.; Corcoran, J. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1977, 16, 585. (b) Paterson, I.; Mansuri, M. M. Tetrahedron 1985, 41, 3569. (c) Mulzer, J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1991, 30, 1452. (d) Schetter, B.; Mahrwald, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7506. (e) Pal, S. Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 3171. (f) Ward, D. Chem. Comm 2011, 47, 11375.

(3) For selected reviews on enantioselective carbonyl allylation and crotylation, see: (a) Yamamoto, Y.; Asao, N. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, 93, 2207. (b) Ramachandran, P. V. *Aldrichimica Acta* **2002**, 35, 23. (c) Kennedy, J. W. J.; Hall, D. G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2003**, 42, 4732. (d) Denmark, S. E.; Fu, J. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, 103, 2763. (e) Yu, C.-M.; Youn, J.; Jung, H.-K. *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2006**, 27, 463. (f) Marek, I.; Sklute, G. *Chem. Commun.* **2007**, 1683. (g) Hall, D. G. *Synlett* **2007**, 1644. (h) Li, J.; Menche, D. *Synthesis* **2009**, 2293. (i) Leighton, J. L. *Aldrichimica Acta* **2010**, 43, 3. (j) Yus, M.; González-Gómez, J. C.; Foubelo, F. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, 111, 7774.

(4) "Erythromycin, with all of our advantages, looks at present time quite hopelessly complex, particularly in view of its plethora of asymmetric centers." Woodward, R. B. In *Perspectives in Organic Chemistry*; Todd, A., Ed.; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1956; p 160.

(5) Erythromycin A: (a) Woodward, R. B.; et al. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 3215 and references therein (see Supporting Information for the complete reference with full list of authors).. Formal syntheses: (b) Bernet, B.; Bishop, P. M.; Caron, M.; Kawamata, T.; Roy, B. L.; Ruest, L.; Sauvé, G.; Soucy, P.; Deslongchamps, P. Can. J. Chem. **1985**, 63, 2818 and references therein. (c) Nakata, T.; Fukui, M.; Oishi, T. Tetrahedron Lett. **1988**, 29, 2223 and references therein.

(6) Erythromycin B: (a) Martin, S. F.; Hida, T.; Kym, P. R.; Loft, M.; Hodgson, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, 119, 3193. (b) Hergenrother, P. J.; Hodgson, A.; Judd, A. S.; Lee, W.-C.; Martin, S. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2003**, 42, 3278. (c) Breton, P.; Hergenrother, P. J.; Hida, T.; Hodgson, A.; Judd, A. S.; Kraynack, E.; Kym, P. R.; Lee, W.-C.; Loft, M. S.; Yamashita, M.; Martin, S. F. Tetrahedron **2007**, 63, 5709.

(7) Erythronolide A: (a) Corey, E. J.; Hopkins, P. B.; Kim, S.; Yoo, S.-E.; Nambiar, K. P.; Falck, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1979**, *101*, 7131 and references therein. (b) Nakata, M.; Arai, M.; Tomooka, K.; Ohsawa, N.; Kinoshita, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1989**, *62*, 2618. (c) Muri, D.; Lohse-Fraefel, N.; Carreira, E. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2005**, *117*, 4036. (d) Muri, D.; Carreira, E. M. J. Org. Chem. **2009**, *74*, 8695. Formal synthesis: (e) Hikota, M.; Tone, H.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. Tetrahedron **1990**, *46*, 4613. (f) Hikota, M.; Tone, H.; Horita, K.; Yonemitsu, O. J. Org. Chem. **1990**, *55*, *7*. (g) Sviridov, A. F.; Borodkin, V. S.; Ermolenko, M. S.; Yashunsky, D. V.; Kochetkov, N. K. Tetrahedron **1991**, *47*, 2317 and references therein.

(8) Erythronolide B: (a) Corey, E. J.; Kim, S.; Yoo, S.; Nicolaou, K. C.; Melvin, L. S.; Brunelle, D. J.; Falck, J. R.; Trybulski, E. J.; Lett, R.; Sheldrake, P. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1978**, 100, 4620 and references therein. (b) Sviridov, A. F.; Ermolenko, M. S.; Yashunsky, D. V.; Borodkin, V. S.; Kochetkov, N. K. Tetrahedron Lett. **1987**, 28, 3839 and references therein. (c) Mulzer, J.; Kirstein, H. M.; Buschmann, J.; Lehmann, C.; Luger, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1991**, 113, 910. Formal synthesis: (d) Chandra, B.; Fu, D.; Nelson, S. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2010**, 49, 2591.

(9) (9S)-Dihydroerythronolide A: (a) Stork, G.; Rychnovsky, S. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 1565. (b) Stork, G.; Rychnovsky, S. D. Pure Appl. Chem. 1987, 59, 345. (c) Tone, H.; Nishi, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Hikota, M.; Yonemitsu, O. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 4569. (d) Tone, H.; Nishi, T.; Oikawa, Y.; Hikota, M.; Yonemitsu, O. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1989, 37, 1167. (e) Paterson, I.; Rawson, D. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 7463. (f) Stürmer, R.; Ritter, K.; Hoffmann, R. W. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1993, 32, 101. (g) Peng, Z.-H.; Woerpel, K. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 6018.

(10) 6-Deoxyerythronolide B: (a) Masamune, S.; Hirama, M.; Mori, S.; Ali, S. A.; Garvey, D. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 1568. (b) Myles, D. C.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 1636. (c) Evans, D. A.; Kim,
A. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 53. (d) Evans, D. A.; Kim, A. S.;
Metternich, R.; Novack, V. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 5921.
(e) Stang, E. M.; White, M. C. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 547. Formal synthesis: (f) Crimmins, M. T.; Slade, D. J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2191.

(11) For recent reviews of C-C bond-forming hydrogenation and transfer hydrogenation, see: (a) Bower, J. F.; Krische, M. J. Top. Organomet. Chem. 2011, 43, 107. (b) Hassan, A.; Krische, M. J. Org. Process Res. Devel. 2011, 15, 1236. (c) Moran, J.; Krische, M. J. Pure Appl. Chem. 2012, 84, 1729.

(12) For Ir-catalyzed carbonyl crotylation from the alcohol oxidation level employing **5** as the crotyl donor, see: (a) Kim, I. S.; Han, S. B.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 2514. (b) Gao, X.; Townsend, I. A.; Krische, M. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 2350. (c) Gao, X.; Han, H.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 12795.

(13) For Ru-catalyzed hydrohydroxyalkylations of butadiene to form products of crotylation, see: (a) Shibahara, F.; Bower, J. F.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 6338. (b) Zbieg, J. R.; Moran, J.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 10582. (c) Zbieg, J. R.; Yamaguchi, E.; McInturff, E. L.; Krische, M. J. *Science* **2012**, *336*, 324. (d) McInturff, E. L.; Yamaguchi, E.; Krische, M. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 20628.

(14) For an authoritative review of enyne metathesis, see: (a) Diver, S. T.; Giessert, A. J. *Chem. Rev.* **2004**, *104*, 1317. For a related enone-olefin cross-metathesis, see: (b) Xuan, R.; Oh, H.-S.; Lee, Y.; Kang, H.-Y. J. Org. *Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 1456.

(15) (a) Hirama, M.; Garvey, D. S.; Lu, L. D.-L.; Masamune, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, *20*, 3937. For a review, see: (b) Masamune, S.; Choy, W.; Petersen, J. S.; Sita, L. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1985**, *24*, 1. (16) **2** could not be prepared via Brown crotylation, as chromatographic separation from the byproduct isopinocampheol or the TBS ether of isopinocampheol could not be achieved. Consequently, the reported synthesis of **2** requires a six-step preparation from a chiral thiazole-2-thione auxiliary derived from cysteine: Narasimhulu, C. P.; Das, P. *Synthesis* **2009**, 474.

(17) (a) Fukuyama, T.; Lin, S. C.; Li, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 7050. For a review, see: (b) Tokuyama, H.; Yokoshima, S.; Lin, S.-C.; Li, L.; Fukuyama, T. Synthesis **2002**, 1121.

(18) (a) Müller, S.; Liepold, B.; Roth, G. J.; Bestmann, H. J. Synlett **1996**, 251. (b) Micoine, K.; Fürstner, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2010**, 132, 14064.

(19) Geary, L. M.; Woo, S. K.; Leung, J. C.; Krische, M. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2012**, 51, 2972.

(20) (a) Kogure, T.; Eliel, E. L. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 576.
(b) Midland, M. M.; Gabriel, J. J. Org. Chem. 1985, 50, 1144. (c) Poss, C. S.; Schreiber, S. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 1994, 27, 9.

(21) Travis, B. R.; Narayan, R. S.; Borhan, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 3824.

(22) Mulzer, J.; Lammer, O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1983, 22, 628.

(23) Wu, Y.; Sun, Y.-P. Chem. Commun. 2005, 1906.

(24) (a) White, J. D.; Johnson, A. T. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 3347.
(b) Roush, W. R.; Pfeifer, L. A.; Marron, T. G. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2064.

(25) Inanaga, J.; Hirata, K.; Saeki, H.; Katsuki, T.; Yamaguchi, M. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1979**, 52, 1989.

(26) (a) Stork, G.; Rosen, P.; Goldman, N. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1961**, 83, 2965. (b) Stork, G.; Rosen, P.; Goldman, N.; Coombs, R. V.; Tsuji, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1965**, 87, 275. (c) Freeman, P. K.; Hutchinson, L. L. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 67, 5015. (d) Donohoe, T. J.; House, D. J. Org. Chem. **2002**, 45, 1924.

(27) (a) Brown, C. A.; Brown, H. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1003.
(b) Brown, H. C.; Brown, C. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1005.
(c) Brown, C. A.; Ahuja, N. K. J. Org. Chem. 1973, 2, 2226. For a review, see: (d) Ganem, B.; Osby, J. O. Chem. Rev. 1986, 86, 763.

(28) (a) Han, S. B.; Hassan, A.; Kim, I.-S.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 15559. (b) Lu, Y.; Woo, S. K.; Krische, M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 13876.